arXiv:1404.2827v1 [cs.DS] 10 Apr 2014

A Simple Algorithm for Hamiltonicity

Hasan Abasi Nader H. Bshouty
Department of Computer Science
Technion, 32000

April 11, 2014

Abstract

We develop a new algebraic technique that solves the following problem: Given a black box that contains an arithmetic circuit f over a field of characteristic 2 of degree d. Decide whether f, expressed as an equivalent multivariate polynomial, contains a multilinear monomial of degree d.

This problem was solved by Williams [4] and Björklund et. al. [5] for a white box (the circuit is given as an input) that contains arithmetic circuit. We show a simple black box algorithm that solves the problem with the same time complexity.

This gives a simple randomized algorithm for the simple k-path problem for directed graphs of the same time complexity[1] $O^*(2^k)$ as in [4] and with reusing the same ideas from [5] with the above gives another algorithm (probably not simpler) for undirected graphs of the same time complexity $O^*(1.657^k)$ as in [1, 5].

1 Introduction

Given a graph G on n vertices, the *k-path problem* asks whether G contains a simple path of length k. For $k = n$ the problem is the Hamiltonian path problem in graphs.

Williams proved in [4]

Theorem 1. *The directed k-path problem can be solved in time $O^*(2^k)$ by a randomized algorithm with constant one sided error.*

Björklund et. al. proved in [1, 5]

Theorem 2. *The undirected k-path problem can be solved in time $O^*(1.657^k)$ by a randomized algorithm with constant one sided error.*

Both algorithms are based on using a dynamic programming for constructing an arithmetic circuit f_G over a field of characteristic 2 where $f_G \not\equiv 0$ if and only if there is a k-path in the graph. The constructions are in two stages. In the first stage the algorithm construct an arithmetic circuit that is equivalent to a multivariate polynomial that contains a monomial $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}$ for every k-path $v_{i_1} \to v_{i_2} \to \cdots \to v_{i_k}$ in the

[1] $O^*(f(k))$ is $O(poly(n) \cdot f(k))$

graph. In the second stage the algorithm constructs a modified circuit where all non-multilinear monomials are removed. Our algorithm takes the first construction and then gives a simple black box test that tests whether the multivariate polynomial contains a multilinear monomial.

This gives a simple randomized algorithm for the simple k-path problem for directed graphs of the same complexity $O^*(2^k)$ as in Theorem 1 and with reusing the same ideas from [5] with the above gives another solution (probably not simpler) for undirected graphs of the same complexity $O^*(1.657^k)$ as in Theorem 2.

2 Main Result

In this section we prove our main result.

Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_m)$ be two sets of variables. A monomial over x of degree k is $M := x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}$ where $1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k \leq n$. When $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k$ are distinct then we call M multilinear monomial. A monomial over x and y is $M := M_1 M_2$ where M_1 is a monomial over x and M_2 is a monomial over y. We say that M is multilinear in x if M_1 is multilinear. Every arithmetic circuit over the field F with the inputs x and y can be expressed as a multivariate polynomial f in $F[x, y]$. The degree of f in x is the degree of f in $F[y][x]$. I.e. the degree f when it is expressed as a multivariate polynomial in x with coefficients from $F[y]$.

Our main result is the following

Theorem 3. *Let x and y be two sets of variables. Given a black box that contains an arithmetic circuit for $f \in F[x, y]$ over a field F of characteristic 2 of degree k in x and d in y. There is a randomized algorithm with constant one sided error, that runs in $O^*(poly(d) \cdot 2^k)$ time, asks 2^k substitution queries and decides whether f contains a multilinear monomial in x of degree k.*

Before we give the proof of the above theorem we introduce

2.1 The Operator ϕ_k

In this subsection we introduce a notion from [2].

Let F be any field of characteristic 2. Consider a multivariate polynomial $f \in F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ of degree k. Let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ where $z_i = (z_{i,1}, \ldots, z_{i,n})$ are new indeterminates for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Define the operator $\phi_k : F[x] \to F[z]$

$$\phi_k f = \sum_{J \subseteq [k]} f\left(\sum_{i \in J} z_i\right), \tag{1}$$

where $\sum_{i \in \emptyset} z_i = 0$. In [2] Bshouty showed

Lemma 1. *We have*

1. *For a monomial M that is non-multilinear of degree k we have $\phi_k M \equiv 0$.*
2. *For a monomial M of degree less than k we have $\phi_k M \equiv 0$.*

3. *For multilinear monomial* $M_i = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}$ *of degree* k *we have* $\phi_k M_i = \det Z_{M_i} \not\equiv 0$ *where*

$$Z_{M_i}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z_{1,i_1} & z_{1,i_2} & \cdots & z_{1,i_k} \\ z_{2,i_1} & z_{2,i_2} & \cdots & z_{2,i_k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ z_{k,i_1} & z_{k,i_2} & \cdots & z_{k,i_k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose

$$f(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} + g(x)$$

where $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $g(x)$ contains non-multilinear monomials of degree k and monomials of degree less than k, $i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)$, $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$, $\lambda_i \neq 0$ and $I \subset [n]^k$. Since ϕ_k is linear we have

$$(\phi_k f)(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \cdot \det\left(Z_{M_i}(z_1, \ldots, z_k)\right). \tag{2}$$

Notice that for two distinct $i^{(1)}, i^{(2)} \in [n]^k$, the monomials of $\det(Z_{M_{i^{(1)}}})$ and $\det(Z_{M_{i^{(2)}}})$ are disjoint. Therefore if $\deg(f) \leq k$ then $\phi_k f \not\equiv 0$ if and only if f contains a multilinear monomial of degree k.

2.2 Proof of Theorem 3

Let $f \in F[x, y]$ where F is a field of characteristic 2. Suppose

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i(y) x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} + g(x, y)$$

is a multivariate polynomial of degree k in x and d in y and $g(x, y)$ is a multivariate polynomial that contains monomials that are not multilinear in x of degree k, $i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)$, $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$, $\lambda_i(y) \not\equiv 0$ and $I \subset [n]^k$. Then

$$(\phi_k f)(z_1, \ldots, z_k, y) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i(y) \det\left(Z_{M_i}(z_1, \ldots, z_k)\right). \tag{3}$$

Therefore, $f(x, y)$ contains a multilinear monomial in x if and only if $\phi_k f \not\equiv 0$. Now since the degree of $\phi_k f(x, y)$ is at most $d + k$, by Schwartz-Zippel zero testing and since each substitution in $\phi_k f(x, y)$ can be simulated by 2^k substitutions in $f(x, y)$ the result follows.

3 William's Result

The purpose of this section is to reduce the question of whether a directed graph G contains a simple k-path, to that of whether a certain multivariate polynomial $f(x, y)$ contains a multilinear monomial in x. We now describe this reduction.

Let $G(V, E)$ be a directed graph where $V = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Let A be the adjacency matrix. Let $B^{(m)}$ be an $n \times n$ matrices, $m = 2, \ldots, k$, such that $B^{(m)}_{i,j} = x_i \cdot y_{m,i} \cdot A_{i,j}$

Algorithm Direct Hamiltonian($G(V,E)$,k).

Build the circuit $P_G(x,y) = \mathbf{1}B^{(k)}B^{(k-1)}\cdots B^{(2)}(x*y)$
Test if $\phi_k(P_G(x,y)) = \sum_{J\subseteq[k]} P_G(x, \sum_{i\in J} z_i) \equiv 0$ using Schwartz-Zippel lemma.
If $\phi_k(P_G(x,y)) \not\equiv 0$ answer "YES" and halt.
Answer "NO"

Figure 1: *An algorithm for simple k-path in undirected graph.*

where x_i and $y_{m,i}$ are indeterminates. Let, $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_k)$ and $y_m = (y_{m,1}, \ldots, y_{m,n})$. Let $x*y = (x_1y_{1,1}, \ldots, x_ny_{1,n})$. Consider the polynomial $P_G(x,y) = \mathbf{1}B^{(k)}B^{(k-1)}\cdots B^{(2)}(x*y)$. It is easy to see that

$$P_G(x,y) = \sum_{i_1\to i_2\to\cdots\to i_k\in G} x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_k}y_{1,i_1}\cdots y_{k,i_k}$$

Obviously, no two paths have the same monomial in P_G. Therefore, for any field, there is a simple k-path if and only if $P_G(x,y)$ contains an multilinear monomial of degree k. Now the result follows from Theorem 3

The algorithm is in Figure 1.

4 Björklund et. al. Result

In this section we give Björklund et. al. [5] result.

4.1 Preliminary Results

Let $G(V,E)$ be an undirected graph with $n = |V|$ vertices. A *k-path* is $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ such that $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \in E$ for every $i = 0, \ldots, k-1$. A k-path $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ is called *simple* if the vertices in the path are distinct. Notice here that unlike the previous definition the length k of the path is the number of edges (which is the number of vertices-1) and not the number of vertices.

Let $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ be a partition of V. Let $E_1 = E(V_1)$ and $E_2 = E(V_2)$ be the set of edges with both ends in V_1 and V_2, respectively. Our goal is to find a simple k-path that starts from some fixed vertex. For a path $p = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ we define the multiset of vertices in p as $V(p) = \{v_0, \ldots, v_k\}$ and the (undirected) edges in p as the multiset $E(p) = \{\{v_0, v_1\}, \ldots, \{v_{k-1}, v_k\}\}$. When we write $V(p) \cap V_1$ (or $E(p) \cap E_2$) we mean the multiset that contains the elements in $V(p)$ that are also in V_1.

Define for every edge $e \in E$ a variable x_e, for every vertex $v \in V_1$ a variable y_v and for every edge $e \in E_2$ a variable z_e. Let $x = (x_e)_{e\in E}$ $y = (y_v)_{v\in V_1}$ and $z = (z_e)_{e\in E_2}$. For every k-path $p = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ we define a monomial over any field of characteristic 2

$M_p = X_p Y_p Z_p$ where

$$X_p = \left(\prod_{e \in E(p)} x_e \right), Y_p = \left(\prod_{v \in V(p) \cap V_1} y_v \right) \text{ and } Z_p = \left(\prod_{e \in E(p) \cap E_2} z_e \right).$$

Note here that if e appears twice in $E(p)$ then x_e appears twice in X_p.

A path $p = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ is called (r,s)-*legitimate* k-*path* with the partition $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ if $|V(p) \cap V_1| = r$, $|E(p) \cap E_2| = s$ and it contains no three consecutive vertices v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2} where $v_{i+2} = v_i$, $v_i \in V_2$ and $v_{i+1} \in V_1$. Fix a vertex $v_0 \in V_1$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{k,r,s}(v_0, V_1, V_2)$ the set of all (r,s)-legitimate k-paths in G with the partition $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$ that starts from $v_0 \in V_1$. Define

$$F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2}(x,y,z) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{L}_{k,r,s}(v_0,V_1,V_2)} M_p.$$

We now prove the following results.

Lemma 2. *Given an undirected graph $G = (V,E)$, a partition $V = V_1 \cup V_2$, $v_0 \in V_1$ and two integers s and r. There is a deterministic polynomial time algorithm that construct a polynomial size circuit for the function $F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2}(x,y,z)$.*

The following lemma follows from [5]. We give here the proof for completeness

Lemma 3. *$M_p = X_p Y_p Z_p$ is a monomial in $F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2}(x,y,z)$ and $Y_p Z_p$ is multilinear if and only if p is a (r,s)-legitimate simple k-path with the partition $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$ that starts from v_0.*

The following follows immediately from Theorem 3.

Lemma 4. *There is a randomized algorithm with constant, one sided error, that runs in time $O^*(2^{r+s})$ for the following decision problem: Given a black box for the multivariate polynomial $f(x,y,z) := F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2}(x,y,z)$ over a field of characteristic 2, decides whether f contains a monomial $M_p = X_p Y_p Z_p$ where $Y_p Z_p$ is multilinear.*

Proof of Lemma 2. For any two vertices $u_1, u_2 \in V$ we define $\mathcal{L}_{k,r,s}(v_0, V_1, V_2, u_1, u_2)$ the set of all (r,s)-legitimate k-paths that start with v_0 and end with u_1, u_2. I.e, u_2 is the last node in the path and u_1 proceeds it. Define

$$F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2,u_1,u_2} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{L}_{k,r,s}(v_0,V_1,V_2,u_1,u_2)} M_p.$$

Then

$$F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2}(x,y,z) = \sum_{u_1,u_2 \in V} F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2,u_1,u_2}.$$

We now show, using dynamic programming, that $F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2,u_1,u_2}$ can be computed in polynomial time. For a vertex v let $N(v)$ be the neighbor vertices of v. For a predicate A we define $[A] = 1$ if A is true and 0 otherwise. Now it is easy to verify the following recurrence formula

1. If $k \geq 2$, $r \leq k+1, s \leq k$ and $\{u_1, u_2\} \in E$ then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2,u_1,u_2} &= [u_2 \in V_1] \cdot x_{\{u_1,u_2\}} y_{u_2} \sum_{w \in N(u_1)} F_{k-1,r-1,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2,w,u_1} \\ &+ [u_2 \in V_2 \wedge u_1 \in V_2] \cdot x_{\{u_1,u_2\}} z_{\{u_1,u_2\}} \sum_{w \in N(u_1)} F_{k-1,r,s-1}^{v_0,V_1,V_2,w,u_1} \\ &+ [u_2 \in V_2 \wedge u_1 \in V_1] \cdot x_{\{u_1,u_2\}} \sum_{w \in N(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}} F_{k-1,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2,w,u_1} \end{aligned}$$

2. If $k = 1$, $u_1 = v_0$, $u_2 \in V_2$, $r = 1$ and $s = 0$ then $F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2,u_1,u_2} = x_{\{v_0,u_2\}} y_{v_0}$.

3. If $k = 1$, $u_1 = v_0$, $u_2 \in V_1$, $r = 2$ and $s = 0$ then $F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2,u_1,u_2} = x_{\{v_0,u_2\}} y_{v_0} y_{u_2}$.

4. Otherwise $F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2,u_1,u_2} = 0$.

Since u_1, u_2, k, r, s can take at most $k^2(k+1)n^2$ different values the above recurrence can be computed in polynomial time. □

Proof of Lemma 3. ($\Leftarrow$) Let $p = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ be any (r,s)-legitimate simple k-path with the partition $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$. Then $p \in \mathcal{L}_{k,r,s}(v_0, V_1, V_2)$. Since p is simple $Y_p Z_p$ is multilinear. We now need to show that no other path p' satisfies $M_{p'} = M_p$. If $M_p = M_{p'}$ then $X_p = X_{p'}$ and since p is simple and starts from v_0 by induction on the path, $p \equiv p'$. Therefore M_p is a multilinear monomial in $F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2}(x, y, z)$

($\Rightarrow$) We now show that all the monomials that correspond to (r,s)-legitimate non-simple k-path $p = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ with the partition $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$ either vanish (because the field is of characteristic 2) or are not multilinear.

Consider a (r,s)-legitimate non-simple k-path with the partition $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$. Consider the first circuit C in this path. If $C = v_i, v_{i+1}, v_i$ then either $v_i \in V_1$ and then Y_p contains $y_{v_i}^2$ or $v_i, v_{i+1} \in V_2$ and then Z_p contains $z_{\{v_i,v_{i+1}\}}^2$. Notice that p is legitimate and therefore the case $v_i \in V_2$ and $v_{i+1} \in V_1$ cannot happen.

Now suppose $|C| > 2$, $C = v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_j, v_{j+1} (= v_i)$. Define $p_1 = v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}$ and $p_2 = v_{j+2}, \ldots, v_k$. Then $p = p_1 C p_2$. If $v_i \in V_1$ then Y_p contains $y_{v_i} y_{v_{j+1}} = y_{v_i}^2$. Therefore we may assume that $v_i \in V_2$. Define the path

$$\rho(p) := p_1 C' p_2 = \underline{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}}, \underline{v_i, v_j, v_{j-1}, \ldots, v_{i+1}, v_i}, \underline{v_{j+2}, v_{j+3}, \ldots, v_k}.$$

We now show that

1. $\rho(\rho(p)) = p$.

2. $\rho(p)$ is (r,s)-legitimate non-simple k-path with the partition $V_1 \cup V_2 = V$ that starts with v_0.

3. $\rho(p) \neq p$ and $M_p = M_{\rho(p)}$.

This implies that M_p vanishes from $F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2}(x,y,z)$ because the characteristic of the field is 2. Let $p' = \rho(p)$. Since C is the first circuit in p we have $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_j$ are distinct and $v_{j+1} = v_i$. This implies that C' is the first circuit in p' and therefore $\rho(\rho(p)) = \rho(p') = p$. This implies 1.

Obviously, $|V(p') \cap V_1| = |V(p) \cap V_1| = r$ and $|E(p') \cap E_2| = |E(p) \cap E_2| = s$. Suppose p' contains three consecutive vertices u, w, u such that $w \in V_1$ and $u \in V_2$. Then since p is (r,s)-legitimate path and $v_i \in V_2$ we have three cases

Case I. u, w, u is in C'. Then u, w, u is in C which contradict the fact that p is legitimate.

Case II. $u = v_{i-2} \in V_2, w = v_{i-1} \in V_1$ and $v_{i-2} = v_i$. In this case $C'' = v_{i-2}, v_{i-1}, v_i$ is a circuit in p and then p is not legitimate. A contradiction.

Case III. $u = v_i \in V_2, w = v_{j+2} \in V_1$ and $v_{j+3} = v_i$. In this case, $C'' = v_i v_{j+2} v_{j+3}$ is a circuit in p and then p is not legitimate. A contradiction.

This proves 2.

If $p = p'$ then $C = C'$ and since $|C| > 2$ we get a contradiction. Therefore $p \neq p'$. Since $E(p) = E(p')$, $V(p') \cap V_1 = V(p) \cap V_1$ and $E(p') \cap E_2 = E(p) \cap E_2$ we also have $M_p = M_{p'}$. This proves 3. □

4.2 The Algorithm

The following lemma is proved in [5] we give its proof for completeness.

Lemma 5. *Let $p = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ be a simple path. For a partition V_1, V_2 selected uniformly at random where $v_0 \in V_1$,*

$$\Pr_{V_1,V_2}(|V(p) \cap V_1| = r, |E(p) \cap E_2| = s) = 2^{-k} \binom{r}{k-r-s+1} \binom{k-r}{s}.$$

Proof. We will count the number of partitions V_1, V_2 that satisfies $|V(p) \cap V_1| = r$, $|E(p) \cap E_2| = s$ and $v_0 \in V_1$. Obviously, the probability in the lemma is 2^{-k} times the number of such partitions.

Let V_1, V_2 be a partition such that $|V(p) \cap V_1| = r$, $|E(p) \cap E_2| = s$ and $v_0 \in V_1$. Let $v_{i_1} = v_0, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_r}$ be the nodes in V_1. Let $\bar{s}_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \ldots, r-1$ be the number of nodes in V_2 that are between v_{i_j} and $v_{i_{j+1}}$. Let $\bar{s}_r$ be the number of nodes in V_2 that are after v_{i_r}. Let t be the number of $\bar{s}_i$ that are not zero. For $j < r$ the number of edges in E_2 that are between v_{i_j} and $v_{i_{j+1}}$ is $s_i := \max(\bar{s}_i - 1, 0)$. The number of edges in E_2 that are after v_{i_r} is $s_r := \max(\bar{s}_r - 1, 0)$. Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \bar{s}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r} s_i + t = s + t. \tag{4}$$

Since the number of nodes in the path is

$$k + 1 = r + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \bar{s}_i = r + s + t \tag{5}$$

we must have $t = (k+1) - (r+s)$.

Now any partition that satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \bar{s}_i = s + t$ and $t = (k+1) - (r+s)$ must also satisfy $|V(p) \cap V_1| = r$ and $|E(p) \cap E_2| = s$. Therefore the number of such partitions is

Algorithm Hamiltonian($G(V,E)$,k,r,s).

For every $v_0 \in V$
  For $i = 1$ to $T := 2^{k+1} / \left(\binom{r}{k-r-s+1} \binom{k-r}{s} \right)$
    Choose a random uniform partition $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ where $v_0 \in V_1$
    Build the circuit $f = F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2}(x,y,z)$ using Lemma 2
    Test if $\phi_{|S|+|R|}(f) = \sum_{S \subseteq [s]} \sum_{R \subseteq [r]} f\left(x, \sum_{i \in R} y^{(i)}, \sum_{j \in S} z^{(j)}\right) \equiv 0$
    using Schwartz-Zippel lemma.
    If $\phi_{|S|+|R|}(f) \not\equiv 0$ answer "YES" and halt.
Answer "NO"

Figure 2: *An algorithm for simple k-path in undirected graph.*

equal to the number ways of writing $s+t$ as $\bar{s}_1 + \bar{s}_2 + \cdots + \bar{s}_r$ where exactly t of them are not zero. We first select those $\bar{s}_{j_1}, \ldots, \bar{s}_{j_t}$ that are not zero. This can be done in $\binom{r}{t}$ ways. Then the number of ways of writing $s+t$ as $\bar{s}_{j_1} + \cdots + \bar{s}_{j_t}$ where $\bar{s}_{j_i} \geq 1$ is equal to the number of ways of writing s as $x_1 + \cdots + x_t$ where $x_i \geq 0$. The later is equal to $\binom{t+s-1}{t-1}$. Therefore the number of such partitions is

$$\binom{t+s-1}{t-1}\binom{r}{t} = \binom{k-r}{s}\binom{r}{k-r-s+1}.$$

□

We now give the algorithm.

The algorithm is in Figure 2. In the algorithm we randomly uniformly choose a partition $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ where $v_0 \in V_1$. This is done T times for each vertex $v_0 \in V$. If $p = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k$ is simple path then by Lemma 5, the probability that no partition satisfies $|V(p) \cap V_1| = r$ and $|E(p) \cap E_2| = s$ is at most

$$\left(1 - 2^{-k}\binom{r}{k-r-s+1}\binom{k-r}{s}\right)^T \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Then by Lemma 2, $f = F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2}(x,y,z)$ can be constructed in $poly(n)$ time. By Lemma 2 f has a multilinear monomial. Then, by Lemma 4, this can be tested with probability at least $3/4$. Therefore, if there is a simple path then the algorithm answer "YES" with probability at least $1/2$. If there is no simple path then, by Lemma 3, for every $v_0 \in V$ and every partition $V_1 \cup V_2$, $f = F_{k,r,s}^{v_0,V_1,V_2}(x,y,z)$ has no multilinear monomial. By Lemma 4, $\phi_{|S|+|R|}(f) \equiv 0$ and the answer is "NO" with probability 1.

This proves the following

Lemma 6. *Let G be undirected graph. Algorithm* **Hamiltonian** *($G(V,E)$,k,r,s) runs in time*

$$O\left(\frac{2^{r+s+k} \cdot poly(n)}{\binom{r}{k-r-s+1}\binom{k-r}{s}}\right) \tag{6}$$

and satisfies the following. If G contains a simple path of length k then **Hamiltonian** $(G(V,E),k,r,s)$ *answer "YES" with constant probability. If G contains no simple path of length k then* **Hamiltonian**$(G(V,E),k,r,s)$ *answer "NO" with probability* 1.

Now to minimize (6) we choose $r = \lfloor 0.5 \cdot k \rfloor$ and $s = \lfloor 0.208 \cdot k \rfloor$ and get the result.

References

[1] A. Björklund. Determinant Sums for Undirected Hamiltonicity. FOCS 2010, pp. 173–182, (2010).

[2] N. H. Bshouty. Testers and their Applications. Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity (ECCC) 19: 11 (2012).

[3] R. A. DeMillo and R. J. Lipton. A probabilistic remark on algebraic program testing, *Inform. Process Lett.* 7, pp. 193-195, (1978).

[4] R. Williams. Finding paths of length k in $O^*(2^k)$, *Inform. Process Lett.* 109(6). pp. 301–338, 2009.

[5] A. Björklund, T. Husfeldt, P. Kaski, M. Koivisto. Narrow sieves for parameterized paths and packings. *arXiv*:1007.1161v1, (2010).

[6] J. T. Schwartz, Fast probabilistic algorithms for verification of polynomial identities, *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.* 27, pp. 701-717, (1980).

[7] R. Zippel. An Explicit Separation of Relativised Random Polynomial Time and Relativised Deterministic Polynomial Time. *Inf. Process. Lett.* 33(4). pp. 207–212 (1989).